



**Biological and Commercial Catch Statistics  
from the Chippewa Inter-Tribal Gill Net Fishery  
within Michigan Waters of Lake Superior  
During 2013**

by  
William P. Mattes  
Great Lakes Indian Fish & Wildlife Commission

Administrative Report 14-13  
December 2014

**GREAT LAKES INDIAN FISH  
& WILDLIFE COMMISSION**  
Biological Services Division  
P.O. Box 9  
Odanah, WI 54861  
(715) 682-6619

## ABSTRACT

The 2013 commercial inter-tribal fishery in the 1842 treaty-ceded waters of Michigan consisted of 10 large boats and 10 small boats, representing 20 tribal licensees from the Keweenaw Bay, Bad River and Red Cliff Bands of Lake Superior Chippewa. Gill nets were the primary gear used in the fishery.

The fishing season for whitefish and lake trout was closed from November 1 through November 27 for Bad River and Keweenaw Bay and from November 6 to November 27 for Red Cliff; commercial fishing was prohibited during October in seven seasonal refuges. Target fishing for lean lake trout (fishing in water less than 35 fathoms) in areas outside the refuges was prohibited during October to reduce the impact of fishing on spawning stocks of lake trout. The Keweenaw Bay tribe managed their cisco (lake herring) fishery through a quota system.

Fishermen reported fishing 5.1 million feet of gill net and harvesting 932,030 round pounds of fish. Whitefish was the primary target species, making up 86.6% of the total, followed by lake trout (12.8%), cisco or lake herring (0.4%), and with the remaining 0.2% consisting of siscowet, salmon, rainbow trout, brown trout, walleye, and burbot.

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>PAGE</u>
LIST OF FIGURES .....	iii
LIST OF TABLES .....	iii
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS .....	v
INTRODUCTION .....	1
Description of the Fishery.....	1
Quota Management System.....	2
METHODS .....	3
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION .....	4
Commercial Catch and Effort Statistics .....	4
Unit MI-2 .....	4
Unit MI-3 .....	4
Unit MI-4 .....	5
Unit MI-5 .....	6
Biological Statistics .....	7
Lake Trout.....	7
Whitefish.....	7
REFERENCES CITED.....	9

## LIST OF FIGURES

	<u>PAGE</u>
Figure 1. Management units and statistical grids in the 1842 ceded area within Michigan waters of Lake Superior .....	10
Figure 2. Lake trout harvest (dressed pounds) by statistical grid in the 1842 ceded area within Michigan waters of Lake Superior during 2013 .....	11
Figure 3. Whitefish harvest (dressed pounds) by statistical grid in the 1842 ceded area within Michigan waters of Lake Superior during 2013 .....	12
Figure 4. Effort in feet by statistical grid in the 1842 ceded area within Michigan waters of Lake Superior during 2013 .....	13
Figure 5. Total tribal large mesh gill net effort and percent composed of 4 ½ inch mesh by management unit 1985 to 2013 .....	14

## LIST OF TABLES

	<u>PAGE</u>
Table 1. Total tribal commercial gill net effort (feet) and harvest (pounds) by management unit, grid, and species from the 1842 ceded area within Michigan waters of Lake Superior in 2013.....	15
Table 2. Tribal commercial gill net effort (feet) harvest (pounds) by management unit, gill net mesh size, and species from the 1842 ceded area within Michigan waters of Lake Superior in 2013.....	16
Table 3. Total and target gill net harvest and effort statistics by tribe for lake trout, whitefish, and siscowet in Michigan waters of Lake Superior in 2013 .....	17
Table 4. Gill net harvest and effort statistics for target species by grid and management unit in Michigan waters of Lake Superior in 2013 .....	18
Table 5. Tribal commercial gill net effort (feet), harvest (dressed pounds), and catch per unit effort (CPE, pounds/1000 feet) statistics for whitefish, lake trout, and siscowet by management unit and year from the 1842 ceded area within Michigan waters of Lake Superior from 1985-2013.....	19
Table 6. Age and size composition of wild lake trout by unit from tribal commercial harvests during 2013 .....	22

Table 7.	Lamprey wounding and scarring rates (marks/100 fish) on lake trout, per Lake Superior Technical Committee protocol, captured in the tribal commercial harvests from management units in the 1842 ceded area within Michigan waters of Lake Superior during 2013 .....	23
Table 8.	Catch curve mortality and survival rates for wild lake trout from management units in the 1842 ceded area within Michigan waters of Lake Superior, during 1996-2013.....	24
Table 9.	Age and size composition of whitefish in tribal commercial harvests from management units in the 1842 ceded area within Michigan waters of Lake Superior during 2013 .....	25
Table 10.	Catch curve mortality and survival rates for whitefish from management units in the 1842 ceded area within Michigan waters of Lake Superior, during 1996-2013.....	27

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The author would like to thank all tribal commercial fishermen who fished in the 1842 ceded territory within Michigan waters of Lake Superior for submitting harvest and effort information and cooperating with monitors during biological surveys of catches. Appreciation goes to Chad Able and Mike DeFoe (Red Cliff Fishery Department) and Gene Mensch and Evelyn Ravindran (Keweenaw Bay Fishery Biologist) for providing data for this report; Edward Leoso (Bad River Natural Resources Department); Michelle Gurnoe and Bryan Bainbridge (Red Cliff Fisheries Department); Michael Plucinski (Great Lakes Fisheries Technician); Hanna Fiorio and Dalton Labada (Northland College Interns) and Clint Soulier (Stevens Point Intern) for their assistance in data collection, ageing fish and entering and proofing data. I also thank Neil Kmiecik for editing this report.

## INTRODUCTION

The Red Cliff, Bad River and Keweenaw Bay Bands of Lake Superior Chippewa entered into an agreement to establish an inter-tribal off-reservation assessment fishery in the western Michigan waters of Lake Superior (from the Wisconsin- Michigan state line to the West Entry in the Keweenaw Peninsula) on 23 August 1984. In 1988 tribal off-reservation commercial fishing expanded to include more fishermen and fishing in waters east of the Keweenaw Peninsula. An inter-tribal agreement was developed to manage this expanded fishery. Since 1990 Bad River and Red Cliff have followed the lake trout quota allocation formula of this inter-tribal agreement, while Keweenaw Bay has managed its fishery through a fisheries management plan. Results of the early assessment fishery and the expanded commercial fishery have been reported annually as administrative reports of the Great Lakes Indian Fish and Wildlife Commission.

Biological and commercial fishery statistics were summarized for calendar year 2013 from the inter-tribal fishery in the 1842 treaty-ceded territory within Michigan waters of Lake Superior (Figure 1), and compared to those from previous years. Statistics were reported by management unit, grid, and gear type as indicated on individual catch reports.

### **Description of the Fishery**

The 2013 commercial inter-tribal fishery in the 1842 treaty-ceded waters of Michigan consisted of 10 large boats and 10 small boats, representing 20 tribal licensees from the Keweenaw Bay, Bad River, and Red Cliff Bands of Lake Superior Chippewa. As in previous years, the area south of a line from the East Entry of Keweenaw Peninsula to Point Abbaye (Figure 1) was open only to Keweenaw Bay small boat fishermen. Gill nets were the primary gear used in the fishery during 2013.

The fishing season for whitefish and lake trout was closed from November 1 through November 27 for Bad River and Keweenaw Bay and from November 6 to November 27 for Red Cliff. Fishing for siscowet was prohibited in water less than 35 fathoms during the closed season for lake trout and whitefish. Commercial fishing was prohibited during October in seasonal refuges, of which four were created in 1988, and three in 1989 (Figure 1). Target fishing for lean lake trout in other areas was prohibited during October to reduce the impact of fishing on spawning stocks of lake trout. The Keweenaw Bay tribe employed a quota system for regulating cisco (lake herring) harvest by its fishermen. The Bad River and Red Cliff tribes did not use this system for cisco. Also, the three bands allowed fishing for cisco year-round (i.e. no seasonal restriction).

## Quota Management System

Since 1985, the tribes have used a quota management system to regulate lake trout harvest and to limit mortality on lake trout stocks in the 1842 inter-tribal gill net fishery within Michigan waters of Lake Superior. In 1985 and 1986, each gill net tug was assigned a lake trout quota of 3,750 or 15,000 pounds depending on tribal affiliation. Starting with the 1987-1990 time period and for each of the four management units, total allowable catch (TAC, expressed as number of fish) values were estimated for each year within the time period. The average TAC was then calculated and used as the TAC for each fishing year within the time period. Harvest quotas applied only to lean lake trout (referred to as “lake trout” in this report). Harvest of siscowet, a form of lake trout that generally inhabits deeper water and has a higher fat content than lean lake trout, was not regulated by quotas. TAC’s and tribal quotas by management unit, and each fishing year within a 1-6 year period were as follows:

UNIT		YEARS						Nov. 2011- Oct. 2012 <sup>7,8,9</sup>
		Nov. 1987- Oct. 1990 <sup>1</sup>	Nov. 1990 Oct. 1994 <sup>2</sup>	Nov. 1994 Oct. 1999 <sup>3</sup>	Nov. 1999 Oct. 2005 <sup>4,5</sup>	Nov. 2006- Oct. 2010 <sup>6</sup>	Nov. 2010- Oct. 2011 <sup>7</sup>	
MI-2	TAC	19,800	10,400	9,700	6,606	6,606	2,500	6,000
	Tribal	9,900	5,200	4,850	3,303	3,303	1,250	3,000
MI-3	TAC	5,000	7,600	6,600	4,950	4,950	5,000	5,000
	Tribal	2,500	3,800	3,300	2,475	2,475	2,500	2,500
MI-4	TAC	20,600	53,400	46,920	40,440	43,200	50,000	50,000
	Tribal	10,300	26,700	23,460	20,220	21,600	25,000	25,000
MI-5	TAC	16,100	15,700	17,080	33,130	33,130	34,000	34,000
	Tribal	4,830	4,710	5,124	16,565	16,565	17,000	17,000
Total	TAC	61,500	87,100	80,300	85,126	87,886	91,500	95,000
	Tribal	27,530	40,410	36,734	42,563	43,943	45,750	47,500

<sup>1</sup>GLIFWC. 1987.

<sup>2</sup>Ebener et al. 1989.

<sup>3</sup>Mattes. 1994.

<sup>4</sup>Mattes. 2000.

<sup>5</sup>Mattes. 2004.

<sup>6</sup>Mattes. 2006.

<sup>7</sup>Mattes. 2010

<sup>8</sup>Mattes. 2011

<sup>9</sup>Mattes. 2013



## METHODS

Effort and harvest data were collected from mandatory daily catch reports filed bi-weekly by all fishermen who sold fish in their names, or by the boat captain who reported all effort and catch for his vessel. Gill net effort was reported as linear feet of gill net lifted. Harvest was reported in both dressed and round pounds. Species for which harvest was reported by fishermen as dressed pounds and conversion factors used to calculate round pounds are as follows:

<b>Species</b>	<b>Conversion</b>
Whitefish	1.17
Lake trout	1.25
Siscowet	1.25
Salmon and Trout	1.25
Cisco	1.20

Harvests of other species (walleye and northern pike) were reported by fishermen as round pounds.

Biological statistics were derived from biological monitoring data. Biological monitoring of catches occurred several times a month by the Keweenaw Bay Natural Resources Department, the Red Cliff Fisheries Department, the Bad River Natural Resources Department, and the Great Lakes Indian Fish and Wildlife Commission.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### Commercial Catch and Effort Statistics

Fishermen reported fishing 5.1 million feet of gill net and harvesting 932,030 round pounds of fish (Table 1). Whitefish was the primary target species, making up 86.6% of the total, followed by lake trout (12.8%), cisco or lake herring (0.4%), and with the remaining 0.2% consisting of siscowet, salmon, rainbow trout, brown trout, walleye, and burbot (Table 2).

#### Unit MI-2

Harvest. Twenty-one percent of the overall harvest was taken in MI-2 (Table 1). Of the 192,450 round pounds harvested in MI-2, 94.7% were whitefish and 5.3% were lake trout (Table 2). Harvest occurred in eight statistical grids. Lake trout harvest was less than 2,500 dressed pounds in each of these grids fished (Figure 2). Whitefish harvest was greatest in grid 1316 (74,345 dressed pounds), followed by grids 1512 and 1413 (26,780 and 26,064 dressed pounds, respectively). Greater than 10,000 pounds was taken in grid 1511 (10,068 dressed pounds), while less than 10,000 pounds of whitefish were taken in each of the other four grids fished (Figure 3).

Effort. Fifteen percent of the overall gill-net effort occurred in MI-2 (Tables 1 and 2) which was fished by two tribes (Table 3). Fishing effort in MI-2 was 748,800 feet with 28.3% (212,000 feet) occurring in grid 1316 and 25.3% (189,400 feet) occurring in grid 1512. Greater than 100,000 feet was fished in two other grids (1413 and 1511: 140,000 and 100,400 feet, respectively) (Figure 4). Less than 100,000 feet were fished in the remaining four grids. Gill-nets of 4 ½ inch mesh accounted for all of the unit's effort (Table 2 and Figure 5).

Target Effort and Harvest. All fishing effort (748,800 feet) was targeted at whitefish and lake trout (Tables 4 and 5). Target effort (0.75 million feet) and harvest of whitefish (155,816 dressed pounds) was above the 1985-2013 average (445,691 feet and 83,184 dressed pounds, respectively). Target lake trout harvest (8,117 dressed pounds) was above the 1985-2013 average of 6,849 dressed pounds.

Catch Per Effort (CPE). Whitefish CPE (pounds harvested per 1,000 feet of gill-net) for targeted fishing in the eight grids fished in MI-2 ranged from 100-351 pounds (Table 4). Whitefish CPE for the eight grids combined was 208, above the average CPE of 187 for this unit for the 29 year period 1985-2013 (Table 5). Lake trout CPE for targeted fishing ranged from 6-30 per grid and was 11 for all grids combined, near the 1985-2013 average CPE of 15 pounds.

#### Unit MI-3

Harvest. Fifty-seven percent of the overall harvest was taken in MI-3 (Table 1). Of the 532,471 round pounds harvested in MI-3, 97.9% were whitefish and 2.1% lake trout (Table 2). Harvest occurred in seven statistical grids. Lake trout harvest was greatest in grid 1121 (2,898 dressed pounds) and was less than 2,500 dressed pounds in each of the other grids fished (Figure 2). Whitefish harvest was greatest in grid 1121 (138,866 dressed pounds) followed by grids 1023, 1122, 1024 and 1219 (126,166, 57,349, 48,425 and 47,059 dressed pounds, respectively).

Greater than 15,000 pounds was taken in grid 1220 while less than 5,000 dressed pounds was taken in grid 925 (Figure 3).

Effort. Forty-eight percent of the overall gill-net effort occurred in MI-3 (Tables 1 and 2) which was fished by two tribes (Table 3). Fishing effort in MI-3 was 2,447,200 feet with 37.8% (924,000 feet) occurring in grid 1121 followed by 23.7% (578,800 feet) in grid 1023. Effort exceeded 250,000 feet in two other grids (1122 and 1024), exceeded 100,000 feet in two more grids (1219 and 1220), and was lowest (15,000) feet in grid 925 (Figure 4). Gill-nets of 4 ½ inch mesh accounted for all of the unit's effort (Table 2 and Figure 5).

Target Effort and Harvest. All fishing effort (2,447,200 feet) was targeted at whitefish and lake trout (Tables 4 and 5). Both target effort (2.4 million feet) and harvest of whitefish (445,528 dressed pounds) were above the 1985-2013 averages of 1.9 million feet and 209,298 dressed pounds, respectively. Target lake trout harvest, 8,808 dressed pounds, was below the 1985-2013 average of 16,738 dressed pounds.

Catch Per Effort (CPE). Whitefish CPE (pounds harvested per 1,000 feet of gill-net) for targeted fishing in the seven grids fished ranged from 136-228 pounds (Table 4). Whitefish CPE for the seven grids combined was 182 pounds and above the 1985-2013 average CPE of 110 (Table 5). Lake trout CPE for targeted fishing ranged from 3-6 pounds and was 4 for all grids combined, below the 1985-2013 average CPE of 9 pounds.

#### Unit MI-4

Harvest. Seventeen percent of the overall harvest was taken in MI-4 (Table 1). Of the 161,914 round pounds harvested, 52.6% were whitefish, 44.6% lake trout, 1.8% cisco, and 1% other species (Table 2). Harvest occurred in eleven statistical grids. Lake trout harvest was highest in grid 1224 (19,611 dressed pounds) in five other grids (Figure 2). Less than 2,500 dressed pounds were harvested in each of the other five grids fished. Whitefish harvest was greatest in grid 1224 (26,549 dressed pounds). Greater than 5,000 dressed pounds of whitefish were harvested from five other grids while less than 5,000 dressed pounds were harvested in each of the remaining five grids fished (Figure 3).

Effort. Twenty-nine percent of the overall gill-net effort occurred in MI-4 (Tables 1 and 2) which was fished by all three tribes (Table 3). Fishing effort in MI-4 was 1,506,675 feet with 31.6% (475,500 feet) occurring in grid 1224, followed by 15.7% (236,000 feet) in grid 1323 and 15.1% (227,000 feet) in grid 1423. Greater than 100,000 feet were also fished in grids 1324 and 1125 (191,400 and 116,000 feet, respectively). Less than 100,000 feet were fished in each of the remaining six grids (Figure 4). Gill-nets of 4 ½ inch mesh accounted for 89.8% of the unit's effort (Table 2 and Figure 5).

Target Effort and Harvest. The majority of fishing effort (1,499,775 feet) was targeted at whitefish and lake trout with 6,900 feet directed at cisco (Table 4). Target effort for whitefish and lake trout (1.5 million feet) was lower than the 1985-2013 average of 2.8 million feet (Table 5). Target harvest of whitefish (72,796 dressed pounds) was below the 1985-2013 average (174,486 dressed pounds). Target harvest of lake trout (57,829 dressed pounds) was below the 1985-2013

average (66,294 dressed pounds). Target harvest was 505 dressed pounds for cisco.

Catch Per Effort (CPE). Whitefish CPE (pounds harvested per 1,000 feet of gill-net) for targeted fishing in the eleven grids fished ranged from 32-108 pounds (Table 4). Whitefish CPE for all grids combined was 49 pounds which is below the 1985-2013 average CPE of 61 for this unit (Table 5). Lake trout CPE for targeted fishing ranged from 7-66 pounds and was 39 for all grids combined, above the 1985-2013 average CPE of 23 pounds. CPE for targeted fishing of cisco was 73 pounds for the one grid fished.

#### Unit MI-5

Harvest. Five percent of the overall harvest was taken in MI-5 (Table 1). Of the 45,194 round pounds harvested in MI-5, 39.8% were whitefish, 57.5% lake trout, 1.8% cisco, and 0.9% other species (Table 2). Harvest occurred in two statistical grids. Lake trout harvest was 20,146 dressed pounds in grid 1529 and 661 pounds in grid 1327 (Figure 2). Whitefish harvest was 13,850 dressed pounds in grid 1529 and 1,534 in grid 1327 (Figure 3). Target harvest of cisco from grid 1529 was 354 dressed pounds.

Effort. Eight percent of the overall gill-net effort occurred in MI-5 (Tables 1 and 2) which was fished by two tribes (Table 3). Fishing effort was 403,700 feet with 90.1% (363,700 feet) occurring in grid 1529 (Table 1 and Figure 4). Gill-nets of 4 ½ inch mesh accounted for 99.7% of the unit's effort (Table 2 and Figure 5).

Target Effort and Harvest. The majority of fishing effort (402,500 feet) was targeted at whitefish and lake trout with 1,200 feet directed at cisco (Table 4). Target effort for whitefish and lake trout (0.40 million feet) was near the 1985-2013 average of 0.42 million feet (Table 5). Target harvest of whitefish (15,384 dressed pounds) was below the 1986-2013 average (25,798 dressed pounds). Target harvest of lake trout (20,807 dressed pounds) was near the 1986-2013 average (19,968 dressed pounds).

Catch Per Effort (CPE). Whitefish CPE (pounds harvested per 1,000 feet of gill-net) for targeted fishing was 38 in both grids fished (Table 4) below the 1986-2013 average CPE of 61 for this unit (Table 5). Lake trout CPE for targeted fishing was 56 in grid 1529 and 17 in grid 1327 (Table 4). Lake trout CPE for the two grids combined was 52 pounds, near the 1986-2013 average CPE of 47 pounds. Cisco CPE for targeted fishing was 295 pounds in the one grid fished.

## **Biological Statistics**

### Lake Trout

MI-2. Six year classes of wild trout (5-10) were represented in a sample of 15 lake trout aged from MI-2 (Table 6). Mean age was 7.5 years. Fish ten years and older made up 13% of the sample. Mean length was 23.7 inches for 22 fish measured and mean weight was 3.7 round pounds for 15 fish weighed. Overall lamprey-marking rates were 0.0 wounds/100 fish (Table 7). Annual total mortality rate was estimated at 30% ( $Z=0.35, \pm 0.20$ ) for wild fish ages 8-10 (Table 8).

MI-3. Nine year classes of wild trout (4-10, 12, 13) were represented in a sample of 37 lake trout aged from MI-3 (Table 6). Mean age was 7.8 years. Fish ten years and older made up 14% of the sample. Mean length was 23.6 inches and mean weight was 4.5 round pounds for the 38 fish sampled. Overall lamprey-marking rates were 0.0 wounds/100 fish (Table 7). Annual total mortality rate was estimated at 54% ( $Z=0.77, \pm 0.15$ ) for wild fish ages 8-10 (Table 8).

MI-4. Ten year classes of wild trout (5-12, 14, 15) were represented in a sample of 47 lake trout aged from MI-4 (Table 6). Mean age was 8.6 years. Fish ten years and older made up 30% of the sample. Mean length was 23.5 inches and mean weight was 4.4 round pounds for the 67 fish sampled. Overall lamprey-marking rates were 0.0 wounds/100 fish (Table 7). Annual total mortality rate was estimated at 37% ( $Z=0.46, \pm 0.07$ ) for wild fish ages 9-12 (Table 8).

MI-5. No lake trout were sampled from MI-5 in 2013.

### Whitefish

MI-2. Thirteen age groups (5-13, 16-19) were represented in the 53 whitefish aged in MI-2, which had a mean age of 10.2 years (Table 9). Mean length of 102 lake whitefish measured was 19.7 inches. Annual total mortality was estimated at 65% ( $Z=1.04 \pm 0.3$ ) for ages 11-13 (Table 10).

MI-3. Thirteen age groups (4, 6-16, 20) were represented in the 216 whitefish aged in MI-3, which had a mean age of 9.3 years (Table 9). Mean length of 251 lake whitefish measured was 19.9 inches and the mean weight of 238 whitefish weighed was 2.4 round pounds. Annual total mortality was estimated at 34% ( $Z=0.42 \pm 0.05$ ) for ages 8-14 (Table 10).

## REFERENCES CITED

- Ebener, M.P., J. Selgeby, M. Gallinat, and M. Donofrio. 1989. Methods for determining total allowable catch of lake trout in the 1842 treaty-ceded area within Michigan waters of Lake Superior, 1990-1995. Great Lakes Indian Fish and Wildlife Commission, Biological Services Division Administrative Report 89-11. Odanah, Wisconsin.
- GLIFWC. 1987. Calculation of lake trout total allowable catches for Michigan waters of Lake Superior. Intra-agency report dated January 16, 1987.
- Mattes W.P. 1994. Memorandum to Great Lakes Indian Fish and Wildlife Commission Lake Committee Biologists dated November 30, 1994.
- Mattes W.P. 2000. Memorandum to Great Lakes Indian Fish and Wildlife Commission Lake Committee Members and Biologists dated March 29, 2000.
- Mattes W.P. 2004. Memorandum to Great Lakes Indian Fish and Wildlife Commission Lake Committee Members and Biologists dated June 24, 2004.
- Mattes W.P. 2006. Memorandum to Great Lakes Indian Fish and Wildlife Commission Lake Committee Members and Biologists dated September 18, 2006.
- Mattes W.P. 2010. Memorandum to Great Lakes Indian Fish and Wildlife Commission Lake Committee Members and Biologists dated October 9, 2010.
- Mattes W.P. 2011. Memorandum to Great Lakes Indian Fish and Wildlife Commission Lake Committee Members and Biologists dated October 12, 2011.
- Mattes W.P. 2013. Memorandum to Great Lakes Indian Fish and Wildlife Commission Lake Committee Members and Biologists dated November 18, 2013.






Figure 1. Management units and statistical grids in the 1842 treaty ceded area within Michigan waters of Lake Superior.






Figure 2. Lake trout harvest (dressed pounds) by statistical grids in the 1842 treaty ceded area within Michigan waters of Lake Superior during 2013.






Figure 3. Whitefish harvest (dressed pounds) by statistical grids in the 1842 treaty ceded area within Michigan waters of Lake Superior during 2013.








Figure 4. Effort in feet by statistical grids in the 1842 treaty ceded area within Michigan waters of Lake Superior during 2013.


MI-2



MI-3



MI-4



MI-5




Figure 5. Total tribal large mesh gill net effort and percent composed of 4 1/2 inch mesh by management unit, 1985 to 2013.

Table 1. Total tribal commercial gill net effort (feet) and harvest (pounds) by management unit, grid, and species from the 1842 ceded area within Michigan waters of Lake Superior in 2013.

Management Unit	Grid	Effort	Total Effort*										Total Harvest Round Pounds	Percent of Total Harvest			
			Percent of Total Effort*	Whitefish	Lake trout	Siscowet	Cisco	Salmon/trout	Walleye	Northern Pike	Burbot						
MI-2	1314	24,000	3.2%	3,758	725	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	1315	16,500	2.2%	2,912	106	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	1316	212,000	28.3%	74,345	1,372	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	1318	12,000	1.6%	1,900	190	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	1413	140,000	18.7%	26,064	1,567	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	1414	54,500	7.3%	9,989	887	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	1511	100,400	13.4%	10,068	1,169	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	1512	189,400	25.3%	26,780	2,101	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Effort:	748,800	14.7%	155,816	8,117	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Dressed Pounds:			182,304.4	10,145.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	192,450.4
Round Pounds:																	
MI-3	925	15,000	0.6%	2,038	79	21	0	0	15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	1023	578,800	23.7%	126,166	1,944	66	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	1024	306,400	12.5%	48,425	1,576	45	0	0	15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	1121	924,000	37.8%	138,866	2,898	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	1122	252,000	10.3%	57,349	704	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	1219	216,000	8.8%	47,059	753	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	1220	155,000	6.3%	25,626	855	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Effort:	2,447,200	47.9%	445,528	8,808	131	0	0	0	30	0	0	0	0	0	0	532,471.5
	Dressed Pounds:			521,267.8	11,010.0	163.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	57.1%
	Round Pounds:																
MI-4	1026	56,200	3.7%	6,085	1,996	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	1125	116,000	7.7%	3,734	7,699	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	1126	15,000	1.0%	1,403	586	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	1223	97,975	6.5%	6,425	5,820	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	1224	475,500	31.6%	26,549	19,611	24	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	1225	33,600	2.2%	1,752	1,590	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	1323	236,000	15.7%	8,577	7,090	0	0	566	51	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	
	1324	191,400	12.7%	7,158	7,205	0	0	12	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	
	1326	36,000	2.4%	2,954	237	0	0	1,810	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	1423	227,000	15.1%	7,452	5,268	112	0	600	332	0	0	0	142	0	0	0	
1424	22,000	1.5%	753	732	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Effort:	1,506,675	29.5%	72,841	57,834	136	2,410	910	2,892.0	1,137.5	51	0	147	161,914.0	17.4%			
Dressed Pounds:			85,224.0	72,292.5	170.0	2,892.0	1,137.5	51	0	0	0	147	161,914.0	17.4%			
Round Pounds:																	
MI-5	1327	40,000	9.9%	1,534	661	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	1529	363,700	90.1%	13,850	20,146	0	674	289	4	0	10	2	0	0	0	0	
	Effort:	403,700	7.9%	15,384	20,807	0	674	289	4	0	10	2	0	0	0	0	
	Dressed Pounds:			17,999.3	26,008.8	0.0	808.8	361.3	4	0	10	2	45,194.1	4.8%			
	Round Pounds:																
	Grand Totals:	Effort:	5,106,375		689,569	95,566	267	3,084	1,199	1,199	10.0	149.0	932,029.9				
		Dressed Pounds:			806,795.4	119,457.2	333.8	3,700.8	1,498.8	85.0	10.0	149.0	932,029.9				
	Round Pounds:																

\*For subtotals, percentage refers to percent of overall effort fished in unit.



Table 2. Tribal commercial gill net effort (feet) harvest (pounds) by management unit, gill net mesh size, and species from the 1842 ceded area within Michigan waters of Lake Superior in 2013.

Unit	Mesh	Effort	Percent of		Salmon/						Total Harvest Round Pounds	
			Total Effort*	Whitefish Lake trout	Siscowet	Cisco	Trout	Walleye	Burbot	Round Pounds		
MI-2	4.5	748,800	100.0%	155,816	8,117	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Subtotals:		Effort: 748,800	14.7%	155,816	8,117	0	0	0	0	0	0	192,450.4
Dressed Pounds:				182,304.4	10,145.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Round Pounds:				94.7%	5.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Percent of Unit Harvest:				445,528	8,808	131	0	0	30	0	0	0
MI-3	4.5	2,447,200	100.0%	445,528	8,808	131	0	0	30	0	0	0
Subtotals:		Effort: 2,447,200	47.9%	445,528	8,808	131	0	0	30	0	0	532,471.5
Dressed Pounds:				521,267.8	11,010.0	163.8	0.0	0.0	30.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Round Pounds:				97.9%	2.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Percent of Unit Harvest:				0	0	0	100	0	0	0	0	0
MI-4	2.5	1,200	0.1%	0	0	0	29	0	0	0	0	0
MI-4	2.75	600	0.0%	0	0	0	69	0	0	0	0	0
MI-4	2.875	900	0.1%	0	0	0	257	0	0	0	0	0
MI-4	2.975	3,300	0.2%	68,725	55,806	66	0	595	51	127	0	0
MI-4	4.5	1,352,375	89.8%	2,255	1,747	0	145	315	0	20	0	0
MI-4	5.5	132,300	8.8%	1,861	151	0	1,810	0	0	0	0	0
MI-4	4.5-5.0	16,000	1.1%	0	130	70	0	0	0	0	0	0
MI-4	Hook and Line	0	0.0%	72,841	57,834	136	2,410	910	51.0	147.0	0.1%	0
Subtotals:		Effort: 1,506,675	29.5%	85,224.0	72,292.5	170.0	2,892.0	1,137.5	0.0%	0.7%	0.1%	161,914.0
Dressed Pounds:				52.6%	44.6%	0.1%	1.8%	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Round Pounds:				0	0	0	354	0	0	0	0	0
Percent of Unit Harvest:				15,384	20,807	0	320	289	4	12	0	0
MI-5	3.0	1,200	0.3%	15,384	20,807	0	674	289	4.0	12.0	0.0%	0.0%
MI-5	4.5	402,500	99.7%	17,999.3	26,008.8	0.0	808.8	361.3	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Subtotals:		Effort: 403,700	7.9%	39.8%	57.5%	0.0%	1.8%	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	45,194.1
Dressed Pounds:				689,569	95,566	267	3,084	1,199	85.0	159.0	0.0%	0.0%
Round Pounds:				806,795.4	119,457.2	333.8	3,700.8	1,498.8	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	932,029.9
Percent of Total Harvest:				86.6%	12.8%	0.0%	0.4%	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

\*For subtotals, percentage refers to percent of overall effort fished in unit.

Table 3. Total and target gill net harvest and effort statistics by tribe for lake trout, whitefish, and siscowet in Michigan waters of Lake Superior in 2013.\*

Unit	Tribe	TOTAL HARVEST						TARGET HARVEST					
		Effort	Whitefish pounds	CPE	Lake trout pounds	CPE	Siscowet pounds	Effort	Whitefish pounds	CPE	Lake trout pounds	CPE	Siscowet pounds
MI-2	Bad River	265,800	34,888	131	3,010	11	0	265,800	34,888	131	3,010	11	0
	Keweenaw Bay	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Red Cliff subtotal	483,000	120,928	250	5,107	11	0	483,000	120,928	250	5,107	11	0
MI-3	Bad River	748,800	155,816	208	8,117	11	0	748,800	155,816	208	8,117	11	0
	Keweenaw Bay	137,200	44,446	324	563	4	0	137,200	44,446	324	563	4	0
	Red Cliff subtotal	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MI-4	Bad River	2,310,000	401,082	174	8,245	4	131	2,310,000	401,082	174	8,245	4	0
	Keweenaw Bay	2,447,200	445,528	182	8,808	4	131	2,447,200	445,528	182	8,808	4	0
	Red Cliff subtotal	103,000	8,564	83	7,785	76	0	103,000	8,564	83	7,785	76	0
MI-5	Bad River	1,042,675	38,796	37	37,775	36	136	1,035,775	38,751	37	37,770	36	0
	Keweenaw Bay	361,000	25,481	71	12,274	34	0	361,000	25,481	71	12,274	34	0
	Red Cliff subtotal	1,506,675	72,841	48	57,834	38	136	1,499,775	72,796	49	57,829	39	0
MI-5	Bad River	40,000	1,534	38	661	17	0	40,000	1,534	38	661	17	0
	Keweenaw Bay	363,700	13,850	38	20,146	55	0	362,500	13,850	38	20,146	56	0
	Red Cliff subtotal	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	Bad River	403,700	15,384	38	20,807	52	0	402,500	15,384	38	20,807	52	0
	Keweenaw Bay	546,000	89,432	164	12,019	22	0	546,000	89,432	164	12,019	22	0
	Red Cliff	1,406,375	52,646	37	57,921	41	136	1,398,275	52,601	38	57,916	41	0
All Tribes	Bad River	3,154,000	547,491	174	25,626	8	131	3,154,000	547,491	174	25,626	8	0
	Red Cliff	5,106,375	689,569	135	95,566	19	267	5,098,275	689,524	135	95,561	19	0

\*Pounds are in dressed weight, effort is feet of net lifted and CPE is pounds/1000 ft of net lifted. Target species was assigned to each lift based on reported target species from individual catch reports. Target effort for whitefish and lake trout was combined.

Table 4. Gill net harvest and effort statistics for target species by grid and management unit in Michigan waters of Lake Superior in 2013\*

Unit	Grid	Whitefish		Lake trout		Cisco		Salmon			
		Effort	pounds	CPE	Effort	pounds	CPE	Effort	pounds	CPE	
MI-2	1314	24,000	3,758	157	24,000	725	30				
	1315	16,500	2,912	176	16,500	106	6				
	1316	212,000	74,345	351	212,000	1,372	6				
	1318	12,000	1,900	158	12,000	190	16				
	1413	140,000	26,064	186	140,000	1,567	11				
	1414	54,500	9,989	183	54,500	887	16				
	1511	100,400	10,068	100	100,400	1,169	12				
	1512	189,400	26,780	141	189,400	2,101	11				
	subtotal	748,800	155,816	208	748,800	8,117	11	0	0	0	0
	MI-3	925	15,000	2,038	136	15,000	79	5			
1023	578,800	126,166	218	578,800	1,944	3					
1024	306,400	48,425	158	306,400	1,576	5					
1121	924,000	138,866	150	924,000	2,898	3					
1122	252,000	57,349	228	252,000	704	3					
1219	216,000	47,059	218	216,000	753	3					
1220	155,000	25,626	165	155,000	855	6					
subtotal	2,447,200	445,528	182	2,447,200	8,808	4	0	0	0	0	
MI-4	1026	56,200	6,085	108	56,200	1,996	36				
1125	116,000	3,734	32	116,000	7,699	66					
1126	15,000	1,403	94	15,000	586	39					
1223	97,975	6,425	66	97,975	5,820	59					
1224	475,500	26,549	56	475,500	19,611	41					
1225	33,600	1,752	52	33,600	1,590	47					
1323	236,000	8,577	36	236,000	7,090	30					
1324	191,400	7,158	37	191,400	7,205	38					
1326	36,000	2,954	82	36,000	237	7					
1423	220,100	7,407	34	220,100	5,263	24					
1424	22,000	753	34	22,000	732	33					
subtotal	1,499,775	72,796	49	1,499,775	57,829	39	6,900	505	73	0	
MI-5	1327	40,000	1,534	38	40,000	661	17				
1529	362,500	13,850	38	362,500	20,146	56					
subtotal	402,500	15,384	38	402,500	20,807	52	1,200	354	295	0	
Grand Total		5,098,275	689,524	135	5,098,275	95,561	19	8,100	859	106	0

\*Pounds are in dressed weight, effort is feet of net lifted and CPE is pounds/1,000 ft of net lifted. Target species was assigned to each lift based on reported target species from individual catch reports. Target effort for whitefish and lake trout was combined.

Table 5. Tribal commercial gill net effort (feet), harvest (dressed pounds), and catch per unit effort (CPE, pounds/1,000 feet) for whitefish, lake trout and siscowet by management unit and year from the 1842 ceded area within Michigan waters of Lake Superior from 1985-2013. Target effort for whitefish and lake trout was combined.

Unit	Year	Whitefish				Lake trout				Siscowet			
		Target effort	Target harvest	CPE	Total Harvest	Target effort	Target harvest	CPE	Total Harvest	Target effort	Target harvest	CPE	Total Harvest
MI-2	1985	101,100	5,664	56	5,664	101,100	9,238	91	9,238	0	0	0	45
	1986	128,000	16,234	127	16,234	128,000	7,550	59	7,550	0	0	0	63
	1987	576,200	80,246	139	80,246	576,200	18,568	32	18,633	3,200	0	0	2,059
	1988	98,000	2,809	29	2,809	98,000	17,374	177	17,374	24,000	4,945	206	432
	1989	178,000	33,511	188	33,511	178,000	13,488	76	13,488	0	0	0	4,181
	1990	113,000	22,867	202	24,012	113,000	2,789	25	3,269	28,000	8,145	291	5,163
	1991	136,800	32,003	234	32,003	136,800	5,273	39	5,273	0	0	0	812
	1992	217,000	44,814	207	45,377	217,000	2,290	11	2,332	166,000	25,946	156	1,530
	1993	419,100	74,220	177	74,473	419,100	7,780	19	8,263	52,400	10,029	191	8,201
	1994	148,200	17,629	119	17,629	148,200	7,790	53	7,790	5,000	747	149	1,243
	1995	155,000	11,236	73	12,160	155,000	9,729	63	10,104	15,000	3,307	221	3,025
	1996	89,600	4,418	49	4,418	89,600	7,777	87	7,777	1,200	3	3	186
	1997	196,300	19,512	99	19,512	196,300	10,675	54	11,302	5,000	1,608	322	703
	1998	85,400	10,250	120	10,250	85,400	3,125	37	3,125	0	0	0	250
	1999	170,100	31,466	185	31,466	170,100	1,130	7	1,130	0	0	0	3,628
	2000	391,800	120,494	308	120,494	391,800	3,925	10	3,925	0	0	0	3,911
	2001	95,000	16,944	178	16,944	95,000	463	5	463	0	0	0	1,483
	2002	371,800	43,377	117	43,377	371,800	3,582	10	3,582	0	0	0	6,667
	2003	261,600	37,887	145	37,887	261,600	2,910	11	2,910	0	0	0	1,700
	2004	526,900	80,959	154	80,959	526,900	5,745	11	5,745	0	0	0	26
2005	577,600	129,062	223	129,062	577,600	7,103	12	7,103	0	0	0	280	
2006	1,642,450	360,434	219	360,434	1,642,450	9,072	6	9,072	0	0	0	705	
2007	1,171,600	207,745	177	207,745	1,171,600	11,582	10	11,582	0	0	0	1,339	
2008	987,600	213,266	216	213,266	987,600	7,660	8	7,660	0	0	0	1,077	
2009	475,900	112,789	237	112,789	475,900	1,830	4	1,830	0	0	0	561	
2010	1,036,800	173,173	167	173,173	1,036,800	2,221	2	2,221	0	0	0	144	
2011	448,800	84,596	188	84,596	448,800	1,919	4	1,919	0	0	0	0	
2012	1,376,600	268,914	195	268,914	1,376,600	7,922	6	7,922	0	0	0	0	
2013	748,800	155,816	208	155,816	748,800	8,117	11	8,117	0	0	0	0	
Average:		445,691	83,184	187	141,927	445,691	6,849	15	6,921	10,338	1,887	183	1,704
MI-3	1985	2,475,200	309,525	125	309,525	2,475,200	31,501	13	31,501	0	0	0	6,098
	1986	2,936,200	265,269	90	266,919	2,936,200	39,682	14	39,888	161,000	26,172	163	44,384
	1987	2,098,900	136,353	65	145,245	2,098,900	36,409	17	37,340	538,800	58,797	109	78,320
	1988	2,427,300	222,321	92	225,440	2,427,300	32,677	14	33,158	176,400	21,934	124	34,289
	1989	1,596,000	134,078	84	134,182	1,596,000	28,215	18	28,224	68,000	10,660	157	22,461
	1990	2,127,500	110,615	52	110,615	2,127,500	28,361	13	28,361	20,000	2,967	148	28,771
	1991	1,329,900	62,714	47	65,264	1,329,900	22,507	17	23,790	123,400	14,458	117	30,005
	1992	1,675,200	119,291	71	120,176	1,675,200	19,537	12	19,912	84,600	8,272	98	27,350
	1993	2,100,100	172,270	82	172,488	2,100,100	16,958	8	17,255	63,700	5,933	93	22,052
	1994	1,703,800	73,556	43	74,632	1,703,800	12,651	7	13,433	71,000	5,053	71	22,099
	1995	1,408,400	91,358	65	91,358	1,408,400	8,013	6	8,013	0	0	0	9,774
	1996	1,359,700	135,822	100	136,622	1,359,700	9,843	7	10,798	56,000	2,750	49	6,277
	1997	1,854,100	136,221	74	136,971	1,854,100	15,954	9	16,435	18,000	1,546	86	13,270
	1998	2,556,700	267,336	105	267,411	2,556,700	24,629	10	24,759	9,500	400	42	11,706
	1999	1,706,300	178,485	105	178,485	1,706,300	12,430	7	12,430	0	0	0	11,455
	2000	1,609,300	204,065	127	204,065	1,609,300	8,951	6	8,951	0	0	0	3,389
	2001	1,711,600	154,154	90	154,154	1,711,600	17,246	10	17,246	0	0	0	7,819
	2002	1,879,000	85,980	46	85,980	1,879,000	19,558	10	19,558	0	0	0	8,986
	2003	1,759,000	196,274	112	196,274	1,759,000	12,585	7	12,585	0	0	0	0
	2004	1,255,400	67,579	54	67,579	1,255,400	9,973	8	9,973	0	0	0	0
2005	1,246,000	118,185	95	118,185	1,246,000	4,738	4	4,738	0	0	0	0	
2006	1,731,000	264,460	153	264,460	1,731,000	12,714	7	12,714	0	0	0	56	
2007	1,466,400	249,555	170	249,555	1,466,400	5,414	4	5,414	0	0	0	0	
2008	1,871,150	373,411	200	373,411	1,871,150	12,697	7	12,697	0	0	0	1,155	
2009	2,073,300	475,227	229	475,227	2,073,300	15,392	7	15,392	0	0	0	3,881	
2010	2,042,500	265,459	130	265,459	2,042,500	5,547	3	5,547	0	0	0	1,439	
2011	2,148,400	353,164	164	353,164	2,148,400	5,334	3	5,334	0	0	0	0	
2012	2,604,000	401,374	154	401,374	2,604,000	7,083	3	7,083	0	0	0	97	
2013	2,447,200	445,528	182	445,528	2,447,200	8,808	4	8,808	0	0	0	131	
Average:		1,903,433	209,298	110	354,967	1,903,433	16,738	9	16,943	47,945	5,481	114	13,630



Table 5. Continued.

Unit	Year	Whitefish				Lake trout				Siscowet			
		Target effort	Target harvest	CPE	Total Harvest	Target effort	Target harvest	CPE	Total Harvest	Target effort	Target harvest	CPE	Total Harvest
MI-4	1985	1,083,275	218,666	202	219,376	1,083,275	43,118	40	44,289	0	0	0	241
	1986	4,864,900	526,710	108	527,148	4,864,900	129,258	27	129,565	105,800	25,924	245	32,038
	1987	4,110,190	300,332	73	301,898	4,110,190	71,863	18	72,864	768,200	136,596	178	160,297
	1988	5,547,065	245,246	44	246,854	5,547,065	117,982	21	119,281	266,000	34,653	130	53,689
	1989	6,781,675	371,247	55	372,637	6,781,675	112,829	17	114,353	70,000	21,781	311	58,127
	1990	8,557,900	377,190	44	382,839	8,557,900	133,645	16	139,272	600,500	38,606	64	81,902
	1991	5,945,200	278,295	47	286,046	5,945,200	94,581	16	104,481	789,300	55,800	71	96,699
	1992	5,152,100	299,967	58	313,370	5,152,100	74,849	15	86,074	950,750	46,489	49	96,550
	1993	3,939,425	165,440	42	176,357	3,939,425	65,184	17	76,105	747,500	55,090	74	92,518
	1994	2,801,325	88,866	32	95,085	2,801,325	53,075	19	62,290	559,050	38,703	69	60,395
	1995	1,529,225	74,466	49	84,682	1,529,225	47,471	31	61,986	376,000	35,363	94	51,510
	1996	2,096,400	101,931	49	108,219	2,096,400	43,737	21	50,828	336,900	23,662	70	38,361
	1997	2,238,988	127,998	57	129,103	2,238,988	54,929	25	56,302	137,986	41,753	303	65,555
	1998	2,202,700	136,100	62	139,384	2,202,700	60,014	27	63,419	196,870	19,377	98	33,038
	1999	2,338,100	141,873	61	143,432	2,338,100	69,671	30	70,896	79,400	14,920	188	25,154
	2000	1,922,025	128,261	67	129,288	1,922,025	78,318	41	79,097	43,700	6,616	151	17,851
	2001	2,193,800	114,051	52	114,867	2,193,800	66,726	30	67,347	22,800	6,949	305	34,091
	2002	2,735,450	160,561	59	160,564	2,735,450	91,897	34	91,897	0	0	0	19,050
	2003	1,714,600	158,437	92	158,437	1,714,600	45,406	27	45,406	0	0	0	500
	2004	1,864,550	147,536	79	147,594	1,864,550	49,185	26	49,208	0	0	0	664
2005	1,660,670	142,676	86	142,676	1,660,670	41,026	25	41,026	0	0	0	123	
2006	1,601,855	90,777	57	90,833	1,601,855	52,758	33	52,857	3,375	165	49	1,538	
2007	1,345,140	87,772	65	87,807	1,345,140	40,856	30	40,891	0	0	0	514	
2008	1,465,750	113,059	77	113,059	1,465,750	46,669	32	46,669	0	0	0	2,480	
2009	1,553,550	122,643	79	122,717	1,553,550	46,568	30	46,572	0	0	0	3,175	
2010	1,211,300	72,394	60	72,832	1,211,300	33,990	28	34,428	2,400	82	34	1,569	
2011	1,217,600	95,936	79	96,026	1,217,600	37,065	30	37,160	7,200	210	29	1,593	
2012	1,750,850	98,882	57	98,882	1,750,850	62,018	35	62,018	0	0	0	52	
2013	1,499,775	72,796	49	72,841	1,499,775	57,829	39	57,834	0	0	0	136	
Average:		2,859,496	174,486	61	283,233	2,859,496	66,294	23	69,118	209,094	20,784	99	35,497
MI-5	1986	180,000	25,205	140	25,205	180,000	10,667	59	10,667	4,000	750	188	1,772
	1987	440,000	32,095	73	33,126	440,000	13,509	31	13,509	48,000	2,502	52	6,269
	1988	551,900	47,233	86	47,363	551,900	32,105	58	32,105	6,000	333	56	5,449
	1989	225,500	42,809	190	42,809	225,500	12,661	56	12,661	0	0	0	2,785
	1990	706,000	80,394	114	80,394	706,000	18,490	26	18,490	0	0	0	10,026
	1991	305,500	24,355	80	24,540	305,500	7,789	26	7,899	36,000	405	11	9,787
	1992	426,000	35,827	84	37,169	426,000	8,042	19	8,977	72,000	2,970	41	8,672
	1993	416,000	21,375	51	21,522	416,000	25,555	61	25,597	4,500	206	46	2,833
	1994	211,000	5,318	25	5,388	211,000	24,974	118	24,974	14,000	290	21	2,878
	1995	113,400	9,288	82	9,288	113,400	8,445	75	8,445	0	0	0	1,839
	1996	161,400	7,672	48	7,672	161,400	8,040	50	8,040	0	0	0	1,033
	1997	102,300	17,997	176	18,831	102,300	5,249	51	6,105	8,000	200	25	1,855
	1998	280,300	23,950	85	24,452	280,300	14,942	53	16,247	74,000	1,989	27	4,023
	1999	178,000	12,213	69	12,813	178,000	18,342	103	19,824	15,500	1,222	79	4,038
	2000	481,800	44,454	92	44,842	481,800	48,030	100	48,479	7,500	578	77	3,073
	2001	292,700	22,949	78	22,949	292,700	6,377	22	7,321	0	0	0	0
	2002	576,600	31,159	54	31,329	576,600	23,010	40	23,010	0	0	0	1,849
	2003	454,500	14,988	33	14,988	454,500	37,706	83	37,706	0	0	0	5
	2004	705,700	20,742	29	20,742	705,700	31,827	45	31,827	0	0	0	480
	2005	835,070	29,985	36	29,988	835,070	29,505	35	29,530	1,190	60	50	383
2006	738,700	44,839	61	44,839	738,700	36,650	50	36,668	0	0	0	0	
2007	820,500	29,254	36	29,313	820,500	32,988	40	32,988	0	0	0	0	
2008	508,500	7,691	15	7,691	508,500	11,949	24	11,949	0	0	0	0	
2009	551,722	21,070	38	21,134	551,722	21,042	38	21,042	0	0	0	0	
2010	450,000	18,554	41	18,708	450,000	12,966	29	12,966	0	0	0	0	
2011	353,900	15,896	45	15,906	353,900	18,293	52	18,293	0	0	0	0	
2012	390,100	19,645	50	19,645	390,100	19,144	49	19,144	0	0	0	480	
2013	402,500	15,384	38	15,384	402,500	20,807	52	20,807	0	0	0	383	
Average:		423,557	25,798	61	53,057	423,557	19,968	47	20,188	10,382	411	40	2,497

Table 5. Continued.

Unit	Year	Whitefish				Lake trout				Siscowet			
		Target effort	Target harvest	CPE	Total Harvest	Target effort	Target harvest	CPE	Total Harvest	Target effort	Target harvest	CPE	Total Harvest
All units	1985	3,659,575	533,855	146	534,565	3,659,575	83,857	23	85,028	0	0	0	6,384
	1986	8,109,100	833,418	103	835,506	8,109,100	187,157	23	187,670	270,800	52,846	195	78,257
	1987	7,225,290	549,026	76	560,515	7,225,290	140,349	19	142,346	1,358,200	197,895	146	246,945
	1988	8,624,265	517,609	60	522,466	8,624,265	200,138	23	201,918	472,400	61,865	131	93,859
	1989	8,781,175	581,645	66	583,139	8,781,175	167,193	19	168,726	138,000	32,441	235	87,554
	1990	11,504,400	591,066	51	597,860	11,504,400	183,285	16	189,392	648,500	49,718	77	125,862
	1991	7,717,400	397,367	51	407,853	7,717,400	130,150	17	141,443	948,700	70,663	74	137,303
	1992	7,470,300	499,899	67	516,092	7,470,300	104,718	14	117,295	1,273,350	83,677	66	134,102
	1993	6,874,625	433,305	63	444,840	6,874,625	115,477	17	127,220	868,100	71,258	82	125,604
	1994	4,864,325	185,369	38	192,734	4,864,325	98,490	20	108,487	649,050	44,793	69	86,615
	1995	3,206,025	186,348	58	197,488	3,206,025	73,658	23	88,548	391,000	38,670	99	66,148
	1996	3,707,100	249,843	67	256,931	3,707,100	69,397	19	77,443	394,100	26,415	67	45,857
	1997	4,391,688	301,728	69	304,417	4,391,688	86,807	20	90,144	168,986	45,107	267	81,383
	1998	5,125,100	437,636	85	441,497	5,125,100	102,710	20	107,550	280,370	21,766	78	49,017
	1999	4,392,500	364,037	83	366,196	4,392,500	101,573	23	104,280	94,900	16,142	170	44,275
	2000	4,404,925	497,274	113	498,689	4,404,925	139,224	32	140,452	51,200	7,194	141	28,224
	2001	4,293,100	308,098	72	308,914	4,293,100	90,812	21	92,377	22,800	6,949	305	43,393
	2002	5,562,850	321,077	58	321,250	5,562,850	138,047	25	138,047	0	0	0	36,552
	2003	4,189,700	407,586	97	407,586	4,189,700	98,607	24	98,607	0	0	0	2,205
	2004	4,352,550	316,816	73	316,874	4,352,550	96,730	22	96,753	0	0	0	1,170
	2005	4,319,340	419,908	97	419,911	4,319,340	82,372	19	82,397	1,190	60	50	786
	2006	5,714,005	760,510	133	760,566	5,714,005	111,194	19	111,311	3,375	165	49	2,299
	2007	4,803,640	574,326	120	574,420	4,803,640	90,840	19	90,875	0	0	0	1,853
	2008	4,833,000	707,427	146	707,427	4,833,000	78,975	16	78,975	0	0	0	4,712
	2009	4,654,472	731,729	157	731,867	4,654,472	84,832	18	84,836	0	0	0	7,617
	2010	4,740,600	529,580	112	530,172	4,740,600	54,724	12	55,162	2,400	82	34	3,152
	2011	4,168,700	549,592	132	549,692	4,168,700	62,611	15	62,706	7,200	210	29	1,593
	2012	6,121,550	788,815	129	788,815	6,121,550	96,167	17	96,167	0	0	0	629
	2013	5,726,075	802,622	140	5,726,075	5,726,075	95,366	17	95,371	0	0	0	650
Average:		5,607,227	489,420	87	808,451	5,607,227	108,947	19	112,259	277,401	28,549	103	53,241

Table 6. Age and size composition of wild lake trout by unit from tribal commercial harvests during 2013. Weight is in round pounds, length is in inches, and sd=standard deviation.

Unit	Origin	Age	Number Aged	Number Measured	Length (in.)		Number Weighed	Weight (lbs)	
					mean	sd		mean	sd
MI-2									
	Wild								
			0	7	25.7	4.5	0		
		5	2	2	22.4	1.7	2	3.6	0.8
		6	3	3	22.9	1.5	3	3.8	0.7
		7	2	2	21.7	0.5	2	3.2	0.7
		8	4	4	21.2	1.2	4	2.9	0.7
		9	2	2	25.4	1.6	2	5.2	1.3
		10	2	2	24.4	1.4	2	4.5	0.9
Sample Size:			15	22			15		
Means:		7.5			23.7	3.2		3.7	1.0
MI-3									
	Wild								
			0	1	23.9		1	4.7	
		4	1	1	21.9		1	3.2	
		5	4	4	23.1	1.1	4	4.1	0.7
		6	3	3	22.7	0.9	3	3.9	0.1
		7	5	5	23.0	1.4	5	4.2	0.6
		8	14	14	23.0	2.1	14	4.3	1.1
		9	5	5	24.4	2.6	5	5.0	1.3
		10	3	3	25.7	2.7	3	6.4	1.9
		12	1	1	29.1		1	7.2	
		13	1	1	23.9		1	4.7	
Sample Size:			37	38			38		
Means:		7.8			23.6	2.1		4.5	1.3
MI-4									
	Wild								
			0	20	23.5	2.7	20	4.7	1.6
		5	2	2	22.5	0.3	2	3.7	0.1
		6	6	6	22.7	1.9	6	4.2	1.0
		7	9	9	24.3	2.5	9	4.8	1.2
		8	8	8	23.0	1.7	8	3.9	0.9
		9	8	8	24.1	1.8	8	4.5	1.0
		10	6	6	23.6	2.7	6	4.5	1.6
		11	4	4	22.4	1.6	4	3.9	0.7
		12	2	2	24.1	4.7	2	4.7	2.7
		14	1	1	20.5		1	3.0	
		15	1	1	24.9		1	5.1	
Sample Size:			47	67			67		
Means:		8.6			23.5	2.3		4.4	1.3

Table 7. Lamprey wounding and scarring rates (marks/100 fish) on lake trout, per Lake Superior Technical Committee protocol, captured in the tribal commercial harvests from management units in the 1842 ceded area within Michigan waters of Lake Superior during 2013.

Unit	Length Category (Inches)	Fish Examined	Type AI, AII, AIII Wounds	Wounds per 100 fish	Scars	Scars per 100 fish
MI-2						
	2: 17-20.9	2	0	0.0	0	0.0
	3: 21-24.9	15	0	0.0	1	6.7
	4: 25-28.9	3	0	0.0	0	0.0
	5: > 29	2	0	0.0	0	0.0
	Total:	22	0	0.0	1	4.5
MI-3						
	2: 17-20.9	2	0	0.0	0	0.0
	3: 21-24.9	30	0	0.0	0	0.0
	4: 25-28.9	5	0	0.0	1	20.0
	5: > 29	1	0	0.0	1	100.0
	Total:	38	0	0.0	2	5.3
MI-4						
	2: 17-20.9	7	0	0.0	0	0.0
	3: 21-24.9	45	0	0.0	0	0.0
	4: 25-28.9	13	0	0.0	0	0.0
	5: > 29	2	0	0.0	0	0.0
	Total:	67	0	0.0	0	0.0

Table 8. Catch curve mortality and survival rates for wild lake trout from management units in the 1842 ceded area within Michigan waters of Lake Superior, during 1996-2013.

Management Unit							Management Unit							
Unit	Year	Ages	Instantaneous total mortality Z	95% confidence limit for Z	Annual total mortality A	Annual Survival S	Unit	Year	Ages	Instantaneous total mortality Z	95% confidence limit for Z	Annual total mortality A	Annual Survival S	
MI-2	1996			Insufficient data.			MI-4	1996	8-11	0.74	+/- 0.06	0.52	0.48	
	1997			Insufficient data.				1997	8-11	0.44	+/- 0.12	0.36	0.64	
	1998	9-12	0.30	+/- 0.03	0.26	0.74		1998	8-11	0.24	+/- 0.17	0.21	0.79	
	1999	10-13	0.38	+/- 0.17	0.32	0.68		1999	9-12	0.23	+/- 0.07	0.21	0.79	
	2000	9-12	0.27	+/- 0.10	0.24	0.76		2000	9-12	0.39	+/- 0.19	0.32	0.68	
	2001	9-12	0.36	+/- 0.50	0.30	0.70		2001	9-12	0.54	+/- 0.11	0.42	0.58	
	2002	9-11	0.46	+/- 0.03	0.37	0.63		2002	9-12	0.38	+/- 0.06	0.32	0.68	
	2003				Insufficient data.			2003	14-16	0.66	+/- 0.38	0.48	0.52	
	2004				Insufficient data.			2004	11-14	0.26	+/- 0.02	0.23	0.77	
	2005	12-15	0.51	+/- 0.15	0.40	0.60		2005	11-14	0.48	+/- 0.12	0.38	0.62	
	2006	10-13	0.15	+/- 0.04	0.14	0.86		2006	9-15	0.49	+/- 0.15	0.39	0.61	
	2007	10-13	0.61	+/- 0.17	0.46	0.54		2007	14-16	0.35	+/- 0.20	0.30	0.70	
	2008	14-16	0.35	+/- 0.20	0.30	0.70		2008	14-16	0.35	+/- 0.20	0.30	0.70	
	2009	13-16	0.42	+/- 0.24	0.34	0.66		2009	10-13	0.16	+/- 0.06	0.15	0.85	
	2010	10-13	0.38	+/- 0.14	0.32	0.68		2010			Insufficient data.			
	2011	10-13	1.16	+/- 0.12	0.69	0.31		2011	10-13	0.37	+/- 0.11	0.31	0.69	
	2012				Insufficient data.			2012	10-13	0.79	+/- 0.33	0.54	0.46	
MI-3	2013	8-10	0.35	+/- 0.20	0.30	0.70	2013	9-12	0.46	+/- 0.07	0.37	0.63		
	1996	8-11	0.45	+/- 0.21	0.36	0.64	MI-5	1996	10-13	0.33	+/- 0.09	0.28	0.72	
	1997	8-11	0.32	+/- 0.10	0.27	0.73		1997	10-13	0.21	+/- 0.12	0.19	0.81	
	1998	9-12	0.52	+/- 0.09	0.41	0.59		1998	10-13	0.20	+/- 0.21	0.18	0.82	
	1999	9-12	0.18	+/- 0.04	0.60	0.40		1999			Insufficient data.			
	2000				Insufficient data.			2000	10-12	0.35	+/- 0.15	0.30	0.70	
	2001	9-11	0.35	+/- 0.20	0.30	0.70		2001	11-14	0.44	+/- 0.28	0.36	0.64	
	2002	9-12	0.21	+/- 0.05	0.19	0.81		2002			Insufficient data.			
	2003	9-11	0.29	+/- 0.17	0.25	0.75		2003	12-14	0.47	+/- 0.09	0.38	0.62	
	2004	10-13	0.67	+/- 0.10	0.49	0.51		2004	10-13	0.54	+/- 0.23	0.42	0.58	
	2005	10-13	0.70	+/- 0.07	0.50	0.50		2005	10-13	0.54	+/- 0.13	0.42	0.58	
	2006	10-13	1.05	+/- 0.12	0.65	0.35		2006	10-13	0.69	+/- 0.17	0.50	0.50	
	2007	10-13	0.40	+/- 0.09	0.33	0.67		2007	9-12	0.48	+/- 0.12	0.38	0.62	
	2008	11-14	0.49	+/- 0.12	0.39	0.61		2008	12-15	0.62	+/- 0.06	0.46	0.54	
	2009	13-16	0.65	+/- 0.15	0.48	0.52		2009	10-12	0.46	+/- 0.27	0.37	0.63	
	2010	13-16	0.48	+/- 0.28	0.38	0.62		2010			Insufficient data.			
	2011	8-11	0.93	+/- 0.22	0.61	0.39		2011	10-13	0.41	+/- 0.10	0.34	0.66	
2012				Insufficient data.				2012	9-12	0.68	+/- 0.36	0.49	0.51	
2013	8-10	0.77	+/- 0.15	0.54	0.46	2013			Insufficient data.					

Table 9. Age and size composition of whitefish in tribal commercial harvests from management units in the 1842 ceded area within Michigan waters of Lake Superior during 2013. Weight is in round pounds, length is in inches, and sd=standard deviation.

Unit	Age	Number		Length (in.)		Number Weighed	Weight (lbs)	
		Aged	Measured	mean	sd		mean	sd
MI-2								
		0	49	19.7	1.8	0		
	5	2	2	19.6	0.1	0		
	6	4	4	19.1	1.1	0		
	7	5	5	19.1	0.8	0		
	8	7	7	18.8	1.1	0		
	9	8	8	19.3	1.1	0		
	10	8	8	19.2	0.4	0		
	11	8	8	19.5	1.0	0		
	12	3	3	19.3	1.1	0		
	13	1	1	18.2		0		
	16	1	1	19.5		0		
	17	1	1	21.6		0		
	18	4	4	24.3	2.6	0		
	19	1	1	26.6		0		
Sample Size:		53	102			0		
Means:		10.2		19.7	1.9			
MI-3								
		0	35	19.9	1.4	22	2.2	0.9
	4	1	1	19.1		1	2.3	
	6	20	20	19.4	1.2	20	2.4	0.5
	7	24	24	19.7	1.0	24	2.3	0.8
	8	45	45	19.3	0.9	45	2.2	0.7
	9	40	40	19.9	1.0	40	2.5	0.8
	10	38	38	19.6	1.1	38	2.4	3.9
	11	14	14	20.1	1.2	14	2.1	1.2
	12	13	13	20.3	1.2	13	2.5	1.4
	13	7	7	20.4	1.2	7	2.6	1.2
	14	4	4	22.4	0.6	4	3.8	0.1
	15	6	6	22.2	1.9	6	3.1	0.7
	16	2	2	20.1	0.3	2	2.8	0.0
	20	2	2	21.8	1.6	2	3.5	1.5
Sample Size:		216	251			238		
Means:		9.3		19.9	1.3		2.4	1.7

Table 9. Continued.

Unit	Age	Number		Length (in.)		Number	Weight (lbs)	
		Aged	Measured	mean	sd	Weighed	mean	sd
MI-4	6	2	2	20.5	0.6	2	3.0	0.1
	7	10	10	21.4	1.5	10	3.9	1.3
	8	8	8	20.6	1.2	8	3.4	0.7
	9	7	7	21.3	2.4	7	3.8	1.7
	10	3	3	21.6	2.2	3	4.0	1.1
	11	2	2	23.3	3.0	2	5.0	1.3
	12	1	1	28.9		1	11.3	
	13	3	3	21.5	3.3	3	4.6	2.9
Sample Size:		36	36			36		
Means:	8.7			21.5	2.2		4.1	1.8

Table 10. Catch curve mortality and survival rates of whitefish from management units in the 1842 ceded area within Michigan waters of Lakes Superior during 1996-2013.

Management Unit		Year	Ages	Instantaneous total mortality Z	95% confidence limit for Z	Annual total mortality A	Annual Survival S	Management Unit	Year	Ages	Instantaneous total mortality Z	95% confidence limit for Z	Annual total mortality A	Annual Survival S
MI-2	1996	7-9	0.90	+/- 0.28	59%	41%	MI-4	1996	7-9	1.21	+/- 0.17	70%	30%	
	1997		Insufficient Data					1997	7-9	0.82	+/- 0.06	56%	44%	
	1998	10-12	0.61	+/- 0.28	46%	54%		1998	7-9	0.58	+/- 0.23	44%	56%	
	1999	10-12	0.29	+/- 0.10	25%	75%		1999	7-9	0.40	+/- 0.18	33%	67%	
	2000	8-10	0.38	+/- 0.08	32%	68%		2000	8-10	0.52	+/- 0.05	41%	59%	
	2001	10-12	0.63	+/- 0.34	47%	53%		2001	8-10	0.25	+/- 0.07	22%	78%	
	2002	10-12	0.55	+/- 0.02	42%	58%		2002	10-12	0.23	+/- 0.11	21%	79%	
	2003		Insufficient Data					2003	8-10	0.25	+/- 0.12	22%	78%	
	2004	8-10	0.38	+/- 0.14	32%	68%		2004	8-10	0.64	+/- 0.00	47%	53%	
	2005	8-10	0.22	+/- 0.05	20%	80%		2005	9-11	0.88	+/- 0.16	59%	41%	
	2006	9-11	0.66	+/- 0.10	48%	52%		2006	8-10	0.29	+/- 0.04	25%	75%	
	2007	9-11	0.34	+/- 0.09	29%	71%		2007	9-11	0.45	+/- 0.08	36%	64%	
	2008	10-12	0.26	+/- 0.15	23%	77%		2008	9-11	0.64	+/- 0.06	47%	53%	
	2009	10-12	0.16	+/- 0.05	15%	85%		2009	10-12	0.69	+/- 0.17	50%	50%	
	2010	11-13	0.33	+/- 0.08	28%	72%		2010	12-14	0.59	+/- 0.29	45%	55%	
	2011		Insufficient Data					2011	7-9	0.23	+/- 0.13	21%	79%	
	2012	8-10	0.97	+/- 0.24	62%	38%		2012	8-10	0.58	+/- 0.03	44%	56%	
2013	11-13	1.04	+/- 0.03	65%	35%	2013	7-12	0.47	+/- 0.06	38%	63%			
MI-3	1996	7-9	1.61	+/- 0.33	80%	20%	MI-5	1996	6-8	0.26	+/- 0.12	23%	77%	
	1997	7-9	0.37	+/- 0.10	31%	69%		1997	10-12	0.78	+/- 0.00	54%	46%	
	1998	9-11	0.58	+/- 0.04	44%	56%		1998	8-10	0.59	+/- 0.04	45%	55%	
	1999	8-10	0.16	+/- 0.06	15%	85%		1999	7-9	0.87	+/- 0.13	58%	42%	
	2000	8-10	0.36	+/- 0.10	30%	70%		2000		Insufficient Data				
	2001	10-12	0.73	+/- 0.21	52%	48%		2001	8-11	0.53	+/- 0.23	41%	59%	
	2002	10-12	0.74	+/- 0.07	52%	48%		2002	9-14	0.50	+/- 0.14	39%	61%	
	2003	9-11	0.43	+/- 0.10	35%	65%		2003	7-9	0.07	+/- 0.04	7%	93%	
	2004	8-10	0.31	+/- 0.11	27%	73%		2004	8-16	0.27	+/- 0.06	24%	76%	
	2005	8-10	0.33	+/- 0.16	28%	72%		2005	12-14	0.90	+/- 0.52	41%	59%	
	2006	8-10	0.28	+/- 0.15	24%	76%		2006	9-11	0.35	+/- 0.20	30%	70%	
	2007	9-11	0.47	+/- 0.20	38%	63%		2007		Insufficient Data				
	2008	9-11	0.16	+/- 0.03	15%	85%		2008		Insufficient Data				
	2009	13-15	0.62	+/- 0.23	46%	54%		2009	10-12	0.31	+/- 0.05	27%	73%	
	2010	12-14	0.19	+/- 0.10	17%	83%		2010		Insufficient Data				
	2011	15-17	1.06	+/- 0.32	65%	35%		2011	7-9	0.12	+/- 0.04	11%	89%	
	2012	9-11	0.24	+/- 0.00	21%	79%		2012	7-9	0.26	+/- 0.09	23%	77%	
2013	8-14	0.42	+/- 0.05	34%	66%	2013		Insufficient Data						